arXiv:0908.4257v1 [hep-th] 28 Aug 2009

Relativistic Non-Hermitian Quantum Mechanics

Katherine Jones-Smith and Harsh Mathur
Department of Physics, Case Western Reserve University,
10900 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland OH 44106-7079

We develop relativistic wave equations in the framework of the new non-hermitian $\mathcal{PT}$ quantum mechanics. The familiar hermitian Dirac equation emerges as an exact result; we also find new models with properties that have no counterpart in hermitian quantum mechanics. For example in an 8-dimensional representation of the $\mathcal{PT}$-generalized Dirac equation, non-hermitian mass matrices allow for flavor oscillation of two generations of neutrinos, even with an effective mass of zero for the neutrino. This violates what has become in recent years the conventional wisdom, that neutrinos must have mass in order to account for the observation of flavor oscillations. The $\mathcal{PT}$-generalized Dirac equation is also Lorentz invariant, unitary in time, and CPT respecting, even though as a non-interacting theory it violates $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ individually. The relativistic wave equations are reformulated as canonical fermionic field theories to facilitate the study of interactions, and shown to maintain many of the canonical structures from hermitian field theory, but with tantalizing new possibilities permitted by the non-hermiticity parameter m_2.

A fundamental tenet of quantum mechanics is that operators, including the Hamiltonian, are represented by hermitian matrices. Ten years ago Bender *et al.* [1] showed that this principle can, to an extent, be relaxed. Hermiticity is assumed in the canonical formulation of quantum mechanics to ensure that operators have real eigenvalues; Bender showed hermiticity is sufficient but not necessary for this purpose, that real eigenvalues and indeed a consistent quantum mechanics can be formulated under a set of more general requirements, in lieu of hermiticity. His theory is called $\mathcal{PT}$ quantum mechanics because of the integral role played by the parity ($\mathcal{P}$) and time-reversal ($\mathcal{T}$) operators. Prior efforts in $\mathcal{PT}$ quantum mechanics have focused on even time reversal ($\mathcal{T}^2 = 1$); in a previous paper we extended the formalism to the odd case ($\mathcal{T}^2 = -1$) [4] since that is the case relevant to fermions.

In his seminal work on relativistic wave equations Dirac of course assumed that the corresponding Hamiltonian would be hermitian since that is a fundamental tenet of quantum mechanics [2]. The purpose of this Letter is to explore whether $\mathcal{PT}$ quantum mechanics permits new forms of the Dirac equation and hence particles of a hitherto unknown kind [3].

Conventionally relativistic fermions are described by the Dirac Hamiltonian

$$H_D = -i\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla + \beta \tag{1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and β are matrices that satisfy the Dirac algebra $\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}, \{\alpha_i, \beta\} = 0$. The Dirac equation is more commonly written in terms of γ matrices but its hermiticity (or lack thereof) is more manifest in the given Hamiltonian form.

Because the formalism of $\mathcal{PT}$ quantum mechanics bears such a strong resemblance to ordinary hermitian quantum mechanics, we find it useful to first review three familiar examples from ordinary Dirac theory. (i) *Weyl fermions* correspond to the 2×2 representation of the Clifford algebra, $\alpha_i = \sigma_i$ (left handed) or $\alpha_i = -\sigma_i$ (right handed) and the mass matrix $\beta = 0$; here σ_i are Pauli matrices. Thus Weyl fermions are massless particles with dispersion $E = \pm p$. (ii) *Dirac fermions* correspond to the 4×4 'Dirac representation' of the Clifford algebra, in which $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is the direct sum of the left handed and right handed Weyl representations and β is non-zero:

$$\alpha_i \to \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_i & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

This is *the* celebrated Dirac equation; effectively it corresponds to a pair of left and right handed Weyl fermions coupled now by the mass term, with dispersion $E = \pm\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}$. (iii) The *Quartet model* corresponds to an eight-dimensional representation of the Clifford algebra that is reducible to a direct sum of four Weyl representations

$$\alpha_i \to \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sigma_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma_i \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

The mass matrix is given by

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M \\ M^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} m_1\sigma_0 & m_2\sigma_0 \\ m_3\sigma_0 & m_4\sigma_0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

σ_0 denotes the 2×2 identity matrix and the m's are arbitrary complex numbers. The Dirac quartet decouples into a pair of independent Dirac fermions, with dispersion $E = \pm\sqrt{p^2 + \mu_1^2}$ and $E = \pm\sqrt{p^2 + \mu_2^2}$ where μ_1 and μ_2 are the singular values of the matrix M; thus the Dirac quartet can be considered a toy model of two generations of Dirac neutrinos.

To elaborate upon this, suppose the Hamiltonian (1) is written in an interaction basis. Unless the mass matrix vanishes, the flavor eigenstates and the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian are misaligned leading to the phenomenon of neutrino oscillations. The standard model is incapable of describing massless neutrino oscillations. Hence the definitive observation of neutrino oscillations within the last decade has been equated with a non-zero neutrino mass [6].

Now let us explore variations on the Dirac theory permitted by $\mathcal{PT}$ quantum mechanics. We assume eq (1) still holds but neither the Hamiltonian nor the matrices $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and β need be hermitian. We assume parity consists of $\mathcal{P}\psi(\mathbf{r}) = S\psi(-\mathbf{r})$ where S is a matrix to be determined, and time reversal consists of $\mathcal{T}\psi(\mathbf{r}) = Z\psi^*(\mathbf{r})$. We keep the forms of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, β, S and Z open and allow the principles of special relativity and $\mathcal{PT}$ quantum mechanics to fix these matrices. There are six conditions we impose in order to construct a $\mathcal{PT}$ theory consistent with relativity; note that the first three are also imposed in hermitian Dirac theory and the last three substitute for hermiticity: (a) $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and β obey the Dirac algebra. From the $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$'s we construct the boost and rotation generators as usual. (b) For P and T to be compatible with the boosts and rotations we need $Z\alpha_i^* = -\alpha_i Z$ and $[S, \alpha_i]_+ = 0$. (c) We assume $\mathcal{T}^2 = -1$, $\mathcal{P}^2 = 1$ and that $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ commute; this gives $ZZ^* = -1$, $S^2 = 1$ and $SZ = ZS^*$ respectively. (d) The Hamiltonian is assumed to commute with $\mathcal{PT}$, which requires $\alpha_i SZ = SZ\alpha_i^*$ and $\beta SZ = SZ\beta^*$. (e) H_D is 'self-dual', meaning $(\phi, H_D\psi)_{\mathcal{PT}} = (H_D\phi, \psi)_{\mathcal{PT}}$ for any ϕ and ψ [4]. This ensures that the eigenvectors of H_D will be orthogonal under the $\mathcal{CPT}$ inner product. Self-duality simplifies to $\alpha_i = Z^T\alpha_i^T Z^\dagger$ and $\beta = -Z^T\beta^T Z^\dagger$. (f) Finally we require that H_D have unbroken $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry, which ensures the energy eigenvalues will be real [4].

We now present two examples of relativistic $\mathcal{PT}$ quantum mechanics. (i) *Model 4:* We choose α_i to be a direct sum of left and right handed representations, *i.e.*,

$$\alpha_i = \begin{pmatrix} V\sigma_i V^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -W\sigma_i W^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

and we successively apply the conditions (b)-(e) to restrict the form of α_i, β, S and Z. The end result of the analysis is that these matrices have precisely the same form as in the Dirac equation. Indeed Model 4 is equivalent in every particular (including inner product) to the Dirac equation. It is a compelling feature of $\mathcal{PT}$ quantum mechanics that it contains within it the Dirac equation. Details will be presented elsewhere [5] as in this Letter we wish to concentrate on the new, trans-Dirac physics. (ii) *Model 8:* We choose α_i to be the direct sum of a pair of left-handed and a pair of right-handed non-hermitian representations, conditions (b)-(e) leave us with identical $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$'s as in the Dirac quartet model. However the mass matrix β for Model 8 has the general form

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M \\ M^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where} \\ M &= \begin{bmatrix} (m_0 + m_3)\sigma_0 & (m_1 - im_2)\sigma_0 \\ (m_1 + im_2)\sigma_0 & (m_0 - m_3)\sigma_0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

which is very different from the quartet model. For the special case $m_2 = 0$ indeed this is a special case of the quartet model mass matrix. However for $m_2 \neq 0$ the Model 8 mass matrix is manifestly non-hermitian!

To gain insight into the new physics of Model 8 we solve it for the simplest non-trivial special case $m_1 = m_3 = 0$ keeping the non-hermiticity parameter m_2 arbitrary. We find that for a given momentum $\mathbf{p}$ there are four positive energy eigenvectors and four with negative energy. The energy momentum dispersion is $E = \pm\sqrt{p^2 + m_{\text{eff}}^2}$ corresponding to a relativistic particle of mass $m_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{m_0^2 - m_2^2}$; we impose $m_0^2 \geq m_2^2$ in accordance with (vi). Right away we see that when $m_0^2 = m_2^2$ we obtain massless neutrino oscillations since $m_{\text{eff}} = 0$ even though the mass matrix is non-zero. Thus $\mathcal{PT}$ quantum mechanics clearly allows for a quite distinct phenomenology for neutrino oscillations than in the conventional Dirac or Majorana framework.

In the construction of Model 8 we find the form of the $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ operators:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sigma_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_0 \\ \sigma_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma_y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma_y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i\sigma_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i\sigma_y \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

These expressions reveal another remarkable qualitative feature of Model 8, namely that the Hamiltonian fails to commute with both $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ although by design it does commute with the combined symmetry $\mathcal{PT}$. Thus Model 8 breaks parity and time reversal even at the non-interacting level [8].

Dirac showed Lorentz invariance by verifying that if $u\exp(i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})$ was a state of energy E and momentum $\mathbf{p}$ then, for example, the boosted state $[\exp(-iK_x\zeta)u]\exp(i\mathbf{p}'\cdot\mathbf{r})$ is an eigenfunction with boosted momentum $\mathbf{p}'$ and energy E' given by $E' = E\cosh\zeta - p_x\sinh\zeta$, $p_x' = p_x\cosh\zeta - E\sinh\zeta$, $p_y' = p_y$ and $p_z' = p_z$. That analysis applies mutatis mutandis to Model 8 since it depends only on the Dirac algebra. Model 8 is therefore also relativistically invariant [9].

In the following it will be helpful to establish a notation for the eigenfunctions of Model 8; we denote them as $u_i(\mathbf{p})\exp(i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})$ where $i = 1,\ldots,4$ corresponds to positive energy states and $i = 5,\ldots,8$ corresponds to negative energy states [10]. Model 8 has a remarkable discrete symmetry C that is anti-linear and analogous to charge conjugation for the hermitian Dirac equation. The transformation

$$C\psi = K\psi^* \quad \text{where} \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & i\sigma_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i\sigma_y \\ i\sigma_y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma_y & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

satisfies $H_D C = -C H_D$. Hence it converts positive energy states to negative energy. For $i = 1,\ldots,4$ we define $C[u_i(\mathbf{p})\exp(i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})] = v_i(\mathbf{p})\exp(-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})$. Evidently $v_1(-\mathbf{p})\ldots v_4(-\mathbf{p})$ may be identified with $u_5(\mathbf{p})\ldots u_8(\mathbf{p})$.

Since the u's are not eigenspinors of a hermitian matrix they are not orthonormal. It is convenient to introduce a set of dual spinors $\tilde{u}_i(\mathbf{p})$ that satisfy $\tilde{u}_i^\dagger(\mathbf{p})u_j(\mathbf{p}) = \delta_{ij}$. These orthonormality conditions are sufficient to specify the $\tilde{u}$'s but we also note that they are eigenfunctions of $H_D^\dagger$ or equivalently of Model 8 with $m_2 \to -m_2$. The role played by the $\tilde{u}$'s is another interesting feature for which there is no counterpart in hermitian quantum mechanics, where $H_D = H_D^\dagger$.

To complete the formulation of Model 8 as a $\mathcal{PT}$ quantum theory we must now specify the dynamically determined $\mathcal{CPT}$ inner product. In general, the $\mathcal{PT}$ inner product

$$(\psi,\phi)_{\mathcal{PT}} = \int d\mathbf{r}[(\mathcal{PT}\psi)(\mathbf{r})]^T Z\phi(\mathbf{r}) \tag{9}$$

is not satisfactory because it is not positive definite: although $(\psi,\psi)_{\mathcal{PT}}$ is real, its sign may be positive or negative and $(\psi,\psi)_{\mathcal{PT}} = 0$ is possible for non-trivial states ψ. In general the $\mathcal{CPT}$ inner product is constructed by taking degenerate multiplets of the Hamiltonian and organizing them into states that are mutually orthogonal under the $\mathcal{PT}$ inner product. For these special basis states the $\mathcal{CPT}$ inner product is defined as the absolute value of their $\mathcal{PT}$ inner product. The $\mathcal{CPT}$ inner product for other states can be determined by expanding in this special basis and using the assumed bilinearity of the $\mathcal{CPT}$ inner product.

For Model 8 the plane wave eigenstates $u_i\exp(i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})$ are a natural basis; however they are null under the $\mathcal{PT}$ inner product and hence not suitable as a basis to define the $\mathcal{CPT}$ inner product. Nonetheless suitable basis states can be found and by carrying out the program outlined in the previous paragraph we can determine the $\mathcal{CPT}$ inner product of these plane wave eigenstates. The end result is remarkably simple

$$(u_i\exp[i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}], u_j\exp[i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}])_{\mathcal{CPT}} = (2\pi)^3\delta_{ij}\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}). \tag{10}$$

In turn this result implies that we can write the $\mathcal{CPT}$ inner product of an arbitrary pair of states as

$$(\phi,\psi)_{\mathrm{CPT}} = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3}\,\phi^\dagger(\mathbf{k})\rho(\mathbf{k})\psi(\mathbf{k}) \tag{11}$$

where $\psi(\mathbf{k})$ and $\phi(\mathbf{k})$ are 8-component wave-functions in Fourier space and the kernel $\rho(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{8}\tilde{u}_i(\mathbf{k})\tilde{u}_i^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$ is an 8×8 matrix.

Parenthetically we note that in the $\mathcal{PT}$ quantum mechanics literature the $\mathcal{CPT}$ inner product is commonly constructed via an operator $\mathcal{C}$ (not to be confused with the charge conjugation C for Model 8). A discussion of $\mathcal{C}$, some subtleties in the definition of the inner product and explicit expressions for the kernel ρ will be given elsewhere [5].

Armed with the $\mathcal{CPT}$ inner product we can now determine the observables of the theory. In $\mathcal{PT}$ quantum mechanics the operators corresponding to observables must be $\mathcal{CPT}$ self-adjoint [1, 4]. The $\mathcal{CPT}$ adjoint of an operator A is defined as the operator $A^\star$ for which $(A^\star\phi,\psi)_{\mathcal{CPT}} = (\phi, A\psi)_{\mathcal{CPT}}$; an operator is self-adjoint if $A = A^\star$.

Now we would like to dispatch any concern that perhaps Model 8 is merely an elaborate way to rewrite a trivial hermitian model, namely a pair of 4×4 Dirac Hamiltonians, each of mass m_{eff}, assembled into an 8×8 block. Certainly such a Dirac pair model also has an energy momentum dispersion $E = \pm\sqrt{p^2 + m_{\mathrm{eff}}^2}$ with four positive and four negative energy eigenfunctions for a given momentum that we denote $u_i^{\mathrm{Dirac}}(\mathbf{p})\exp(i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})$. From the correspondence between the eigenfunctions of the Dirac pair model and the eigenfunctions of Model 8, $u_i(\mathbf{p})\exp(i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r})$, we can indeed construct a transformation that maps the Model 8 Hamiltonian to the Dirac pair Hamiltonian. That transformation maps Model 8 wave-functions $\psi_8(\mathbf{r})$ to Dirac pair wave-functions $\psi_{\mathrm{Dirac}}(\mathbf{r})$ via the convolution

$$\psi_{\mathrm{Dirac}}(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{r}'\, L(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')\psi_8(\mathbf{r}'). \tag{12}$$

The kernel L has a range set by the non-hermiticity parameter m_2; an explicit formula will be given elsewhere [5]. That the transformation eq (12) is non-local shows clearly that Model 8 and the Dirac pair model have different physics: if we coupled them to the same gauge or scalar field we would get different outcomes. This argument, together with the broken parity and time-reversal symmetry and the prospect of massless oscillations all clearly reveal the trans-Dirac character of Model 8.

We now construct Lorentz covariant bilinears to facilitate the study of interactions. To this end we write the 8-component wave-function as a column of four two-component spinors $\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \mathrm{and} \eta_2$. From the form of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ for Model 8 we see that the upper two components ξ_1 and ξ_2 transform like left handed spinors under boosts and rotations and η_1 and η_2 like right-handed. Furthermore parity exchanges ξ_1 with η_1 and ξ_2 with η_2. Thus, just as in Dirac theory, $\xi_i^\dagger \eta_j$ and $\eta_i^\dagger \xi_j$ are all scalars under boosts and rotations. Furthermore the symmetric combination $\xi_1^\dagger \eta_1 + \eta_1^\dagger \xi_1$ is a true scalar being invariant under parity whereas the antisymmetric combination $-i(\xi_1^\dagger \eta_1 - \eta_1^\dagger \xi_1)$ is a pseudo-scalar as it changes sign under parity. Similarly the currents $(\xi_i^\dagger \xi_j, \xi_i^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma} \xi_j)$ and $(\eta_i^\dagger \eta_j, -\eta_i^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma} \eta_j)$ are four-vectors under boosts and rotations. By making appropriate symmetric and anti-symmetric combinations we can construct currents that are true vectors or axial vectors under parity; here again we note the similarity to hermitian field theory. Interactions can now be studied by Yukawa coupling the scalar bilinears to a scalar field or the vector currents to a gauge field [5].

Finally we reformulate Model 8 as a quantum field theory. To this end we introduce particle and anti-particle creation and annihilation operators $c_i(\mathbf{p}), c_i^\star(\mathbf{p}), d_i(\mathbf{p})$ and $d_i^\star(\mathbf{p})$ where $i = 1 \ldots 4$. These operators obey the fermionic anti-commutation relations $\{c_i(\mathbf{p}), c_j^\star(\mathbf{k})\} = \{d_i(\mathbf{p}), d_j^\star(\mathbf{k})\} = \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})\delta_{ij}$, and all other pairs of operators anti-commute. In terms of these creation operators we may write the Model 8 Hamiltonian as

$$H = \int d\mathbf{p} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sqrt{p^2 + m_{\text{eff}}^2}\, [c_i^\star(\mathbf{p}) c_i(\mathbf{p}) + d_i^\star(\mathbf{p}) d_i(\mathbf{p})]\,. \tag{13}$$

Similar expressions can be written for the momentum, parity and other operators. Next we introduce local field operators

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{r}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{4} \int d\mathbf{p} \left[c_i(\mathbf{p}) u_i(\mathbf{p}) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} + d_i^\star(\mathbf{p}) v_i(\mathbf{p}) e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \right] \\ \hat{\psi}^\star(\mathbf{r}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{4} \int d\mathbf{p} \left[c_i^\star(\mathbf{p}) \tilde{u}_i^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} + d_i(\mathbf{p}) \tilde{v}_i^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The novel twist here is the appearance of $\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{v}$ in the definition of $\psi^\star$. These operators obey the canonical anti-commutation relation $\{\psi_a(\mathbf{r}), \psi_b^\star(\mathbf{r}')\} = \delta_{ab}\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$. Thus far we have simply re-written the non-interacting Model 8 in the language of canonical field theory. But we may now consistently treat interactions by coupling appropriate bilinears of the field operators to external scalar and gauge fields. A subtlety that arises in the perturbative analysis of interactions is that the dynamically determined inner product could also need to be recomputed perturbatively [5].

Non-hermitian quantum mechanics opens up new possibilities for physics beyond the standard model. Within the standard model neutrinos are the most natural candidate non-hermitian fermions (although it is conceivable that electrons or quarks might have a small degree of non-hermiticity also). It is generally presumed that neutrinos are Dirac or Majorana fermions, although this has not been definitively established, and the observation of neutrino oscillations has been used to infer that they have mass. The phenomenology of neutrinos within non-hermitian quantum mechanics would be different and is the subject of work in progress [5]. Among many other directions that deserve exploration we mention only the most important: the perturbative analysis of couplings to scalar and gauge fields to clarify how to fit non-hermitian fermions with known elements of the standard model [5].

We acknowledge a stimulating conversation with Carl Bender.

[1] C.M. Bender and S. Boettcher, *Real Spectra in Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians Having PT Symmetry*, Phys Rev Lett **80**, 5243 (1998); C.M. Bender, *Making Sense of Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians*, Rept. Prog. Phys. **70**, 947 (2007).

[2] P.A.M. Dirac, *The Quantum Theory of the Electron*, Proc. Roy. Soc **A117**, 610 (1928).

[3] For earlier work on $\mathcal{PT}$ quantum mechanics and fermions see C. M. Bender, H. F. Jones and R. J. Rivers Physics Letters **B 625**, 333-340 (2005); C. M. Bender, I. Cavero-Pelaez, K. A. Milton, and K. V. Shajesh Physics Letters **B 613**, 97 (2005).

[4] K. Jones-Smith and H. Mathur, *A New Class of non-Hermitian Quantum Hamiltonians with $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry.* arXiv 0908.4255

[5] K. Jones-Smith and H. Mathur (in progress).

[6] For a review see, for example, M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia and Yosef Nir, *Neutrino masses and mixing: evidence and implications*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 345 (2003).

[7] E.P. Wigner, *On unitary representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group*, Annals Math. **40**, 149 (1939).

[8] One might wish to define a different parity operator setting $S = \beta/m_{\text{eff}}$ for Model 8. This parity operator does exchange left and right handed components and it also commutes with the Hamiltonian. However this parity operator is not an observable because it is not $\mathcal{CPT}$ self-adjoint. This criterion for observability is discussed later in the text.

[9] A more sophisticated analysis of relativistic invariance is to show that the states of a Dirac particle constitute a unitary representation of the group of boosts and rotations [7]. We defer such an analysis of Model 8 to the future.

[10] The u_i are chosen to be eigenfunctions of the helicity. Explicit formulae for the u_i will be given elsewhere.